

FORWARD PLAN

Publication Date: August 2011

Introduction

In accordance with the Local Government Act 2000, the Authority publishes a "Forward Plan" of "Key Decisions".

The Forward Plan is published on a six weekly basis to match the Authority's meeting cycle and covers key issues to be dealt with in the subsequent three months. It is a publicly available document and its purpose is to reinforce the openness and accountability of the Authority's decision-making process.

The Plan seeks to anticipate the issues that will be the subject of a Key Decision during that period. For each item the Plan includes:

- The item's title and a short description of the decision being sought.
- An indication of who will be making the decision.
- The Scrutiny arrangements for the decision.
- An indication of when the decision is expected to be made. This may be a specific date i.e. the date of a meeting, or a period during which the decision is likely to be made.
- A list of Supporting Papers. These will be documents which the decisionmaker(s) will consider or take into account when making the decision.
- An indication of what consultation will take place on the item which is the subject
 of the proposed decision. This will include an indication as to who will be
 consulted (i.e. principal groups and organisations) and how that consultation will
 be conducted.
- An indication of how and to whom representations should be made on the item.

Within the Plan, the items are listed in the chronological order in which it is anticipated that the decisions will be made.

The decision in respect of each key decision is recorded in the minutes for the relevant meeting which are approved at the subsequent meeting of the Authority and are open for inspection at the Authority Offices and on the Authority's web site.

Definition of a Key Decision

The definition of a Key Decision is set out in the Authority's Constitution. It is as follows:-

A key decision is an executive decision:-

- 1. incurring expenditure or making savings in excess of £250,000, unless the specific expenditure or saving has previously been agreed by the Authority, or
- 2. Is, in the view of the Chief Executive, in consultation with the lead Member significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in Merseyside

A decision will be considered financially significant if:-

- a) in the case of revenue expenditure, it results in incurring expenditure or making savings of £250,000 or greater;
- b) in the case of capital expenditure, the capital expenditure/savings are in excess of £1 Million.

unless the specific expenditure or savings have previously been agreed by the Authority or have been taken in line with the Authority's Financial Management Policy.

In determining whether a decision is significant in terms of its effect on communities, the Chief Executive and lead Member will give consideration to:-

- a) the number of residents/service users/District Council areas that will be affected:
- b) whether the impact is short term, long term or permanent;
- c) the impact on the community in terms of the economic, social and environmental well-being.

Decision-Makers

Key Decisions will be made by the Authority or its committees where relevant powers have been delegated. A report will be submitted to the relevant meeting on each key decision, and Members will also consider, where necessary, any report which has been 'called-in' under the Authority's scrutiny arrangements.

Consultation

The Chief Executive, in taking decisions under delegated powers, will consult with the relevant Authority Members and other key stakeholders, in accordance with those identified in the Forward Plan.

Authority Meetings

The Authority will meet formally approximately every six weeks. Meeting dates are published on the web site www.merseysidewda.gov.uk. Each Authority meeting will be in two parts. The first part of the meeting will consider the Key Decisions contained in the Forward Plan, and any other matters determined by the Chairman. The second part of the meeting will be made available for any scrutiny discussions.

The current schedule of meetings is as follows:

23rd September 2011 18th November 2011 3rd February 2012 (Budget and ordinary meeting) 13th April 2012

Scrutiny Arrangements

Two Members of the Authority may request that the Chairman place a delegated decision matter on the Agenda of an Authority regular meeting as a 'scrutiny item'.

Information Reports

The Authority will periodically publish information reports about its Policies, Strategies and Performance on the web site www.merseysidewda.gov.uk

Contacts

If you have any queries or comments regarding the contents or format of this document please direct them to Mandy Valentine (Clerk to the Authority) on 0151-255-1444 or by e-mail at enquiries@merseysidewda.gov.uk

AUTHORITY MEMBERSHIP 2011/2012

| COUNCILLOR | Portfolio Area | Address and Contact Details |
|---|---|--|
| J De Asha (Chairperson) (St Helens) | Scrutiny Public Consultation Procurement Portfolio Mersey Waste Holdings Ltd Board North West Local Authorities' Employers' Organisation Liverpool City Region Environment and Waste Board | 628 Warrington Road Rainhill Prescot L35 0NS Tel: 01744 678 980 Email: cllrdeasha@sthelens.gov.uk |
| G Morgan (Deputy Chair) (Knowsley) | Scrutiny Public Consultation Appeals Committee Audit & Governance Committee | 24 Malvern Avenue Huyton Knowsley L14 6TS Tel: 0151 489 5292 Email: graham.morgan@knowsley.gov. uk |
| A Brighouse (Wirral) | Bidston Methane Ltd Board Scrutiny Public Consultation Appeals Committee Audit & Governance Committee | 31 Grosvenor Road Oxton Birkenhead Wirral CH43 1TJ Tel: 0151 652 6041 Email: alanbrighouse@wirral.gov.uk |
| K Cluskey (Sefton) | Procurement Portfolio Scrutiny Public Consultation Strategy & Development Portfolio Mersey Waste Holdings Ltd Sefton Borough Partnership Strategic Board | 39 Kent Avenue Litherland Liverpool L21 7QJ Tel: 0151 920 3704 Email: kevin.cluskey@sefton.gov.uk |

| T Concepcion (Liverpool) | ScrutinyPublic ConsultationOperations Portfolio | 24 Woodbourne Road Liverpool L14 2DA Tel: 078742 16868 Email: tony.concepcion@liverpool.gov.uk |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| P Keaveney (Liverpool) | ScrutinyPublic Consultation | 75 Canterbury Street Garston Liverpool L19 8LQ Tel: 0151 225 2354 Email: paula.keaveney@liverpool.gov.uk |
| T Moore (Liverpool) | Scrutiny Public Consultation Appeals Committee Audit & Governance Committee | 3 Southampton Drive Cressington Heath Liverpool L19 2HE Tel: 07881 521 269 Email: timothy.moore@liverpool.gov.uk |
| S Shaw (Sefton) | Scrutiny Public Consultation Finance Portfolio Audit & Governance Committee | 66 Liverpool Road Birkdale Southport PR8 4BB Tel: 01704 565 546 Email: simon.shaw@councillors.sefton.gov .uk |
| I Williams (Wirral) | Scrutiny Public Consultation Bidston Methane Ltd Board Appeals Committee | 49 Parkhill Road Prenton Birkenhead Wirral CH42 9JD Tel: 0151 608 7806 Email: irenewilliams@wirral.gov.uk |

| Carl Beer | Various delegated matters | 6th Floor, North House |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Chief Executive | | 17 North John Street |
| | | Liverpool L2 5QY |
| | | Tel: 0151 255 1444 |
| | | Email: |
| | | carlbeer@merseysidewda.gov.uk |
| | , | 1 16 |
| Peter Williams | Various delegated matters | 6 th Floor, North House |
| Treasurer | | 17 North John Street |
| | | Liverpool L2 5QY |
| | | Tel: 0151 255 1444 |
| | | Email: |
| | | Peter.williams@merseysidewda.gov.uk |
| | | |
| Mandy Valentine | Various delegated matters | 6th Floor, North House |
| Clerk to the | _ | 17 North John Street |
| Authority | | Liverpool L2 5QY |
| - | | Tel: 0151 255 1444 |
| | | Email: |
| | | mandy.valentine@merseysidewda.gov.uk |
| Neil Ferris | Various delegated methers | 6th Floor North House |
| | Various delegated matters | 6th Floor, North House |
| Monitoring | | 17 North John Street |
| Officer | | Liverpool L2 5QY |
| | | Tel: 0151 255 1444 |
| | | Email: |
| | | neil.ferris@merseysidewda.gov.uk |

CONTENTS

| Item | Ref No. | Portfolio Area | Decision Date | Lead Officer |
|---|---------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Redundancy & Retirement Policy | K12-11 | Strategy & Development | 23/09/2011 | Paula Pocock |
| Performance Report Q1 2011/12 | K46-11 | Strategy & Development | 23/09/2011 | Mandy Valentine |
| HWRC Opening Hours Rationalisation | K47-11 | Operational Review | 23/09/2011 | Jeff Sears |
| Mann Island – Lease | K48-11 | Finance | 23/09/2011 | Peter Williams |
| Statement of Accounts | K49-11 | Finance | 23/09/2011 | Peter Williams |
| Audit Governance Report | K50-11 | Finance | 23/09/2011 | Peter Williams |
| Internal Audit Governance and Risk | K51-11 | Finance | 23/09/2011 | Peter Williams |
| Textile Recycling Credit Scheme Review | K54-11 | Strategy & Development | 23/09/2011 | Barbara Jones |
| Flexible Retirement | K55-11 | Governance | 23/09/2011 | Paula Pocock |
| Work Life Balance Policy | K56-11 | Governance | 23/09/2011 | Paula Pocock |
| Interim Waste Treatment Contract | K53-11 | Procurement | 18/11/2011 | John Connell |
| MWHL/3C – Head of Terms | K52-11 | Strategy & Development | 18/11/2011 | Neil Ferris |
| JMWMS | K57-11 | Strategy & Development | 18/11/2011 | Stuart Donaldson |
| Performance Report Q2 2011/12 | K58-11 | Strategy & Development | 18/11/2011 | Mandy Valentine |
| RRC Update | K59-11 | Procurement | 18/11/2011 | Carl Beer |
| Corporate Plan & Chief Executive's appraisal | K60-11 | Strategy & Development | 18/11/2011 | Mandy Valentine |

| Item for consideration | Redundancy & Retirement Policy | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| File Reference | K12-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial | Community Impact | Other – please specify | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | To ensure the Authority has a Redundancy Policy which outlines the protocol and procedure should a redundancy situation arise | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Strategy and Development | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23rd Septeml | per, 2011 | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | Redundancy and Retirement Policy | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to have a formal redundancy process may leave the Authority open to challenge in relation to fairness and consistency in its approach any redundancy selection criteria. | | | | |
| Prior consultation | None | | | | |
| Representations | _ | Paula Pocock or <u>©merseyside</u> | • | | |

| Item for consideration | Performance Report Q1 2011/12 | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| File Reference | K46-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial | Community Impact | Other – please specify | Performan ce | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Authority Members consider the report on performance and determine, where relevant, the actions required to improve performance | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Strategy and Development | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23 rd September, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | None | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to reach performance targets | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | | landy Valentine tine@merseysi | e or by email to dewda.gov.uk | | |

| Item for consideration | HWRC Opening Hours Rationalisation | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| File Reference | K47-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Private | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial | Community Impact | Other – please specify | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | To consider various options to reduce HWRC opening hours | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Operational Review | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23 rd September 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | N/A | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Not considering the options to rationalise the HWRC opening hours may result in opening hours not being appropriate to the requirements of the HWRC service. | | | | |
| | | _ | | | |
| Prior consultation | | _ | | | |

| Item for consideration | Mann Island -Lease | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| File Reference | K48-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Private | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authorit | у | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial ✓ | Community Impact | Other – please specify | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Members to determine whether the Authority should pursue to option of moving into accommodation at Mann Island when the current lease at North House finishes | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Finance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23rd September 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | The financial benefits of any proposed move arise from the terms being offered at Mann Island. In the event of not taking up the offer similar terms may not be available elsewhere. | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | _ | Peter Williams o @merseysidew | • | | |

| Item for consideration | Statement of | f Accounts | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| File Reference | K49-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial ✓ | Community Impact | Other – please specify | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | The statutory statement of accounts must be approved by Those Charged With Governance, in this case the Authority, by the end of September | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Finance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23rd September 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Breach of Statutory duty | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | _ | Peter Williams o @merseysidev | - | | |

| Item for consideration | Audit Governance Report | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------|--|--|
| File Reference | K50-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial ✓ | Community Impact | Other – please specify | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | The Authority should understand the work of the external auditor and the status of their proposed Audit Report before the accounts are published | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Finance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23rd September 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | The Audit Report in the accounts is a statutory requirement | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | | eter Williams o <u>@merseysidew</u> | | | |

| Item for consideration | Internal Audit Governance and Risk | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| File Reference | K51-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial ✓ | Community Impact | Other – please specify | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Internal Audit reports should all be considered by Those Charged with Governance, in this case the Authority, so that they can demonstrate their oversight of the financial and performance systems in place | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Finance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23rd September 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to review internal audit reports and subsequent actions could mean an increased risk of fraud and error at the Authority. | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | | eter Williams o @merseysidew | | | |

| Item for consideration | Textile Recycling Credit Scheme Review | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| File Reference | K54-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | To consider the results of the review of the Recycling Credit Payment mechanism and the options available. | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Strategy/Governance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23rd September 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to divert textiles from the residual bin. Failure to engage charities and other organisations who handle textiles for recycling in Merseyside area. | | | | |
| Prior consultation | Review Agreement – Authority meeting 24 June 2011 | | | | |
| Representations | _ | Barbara Jones o @merseysidev | • | | |

| Item for consideration | Flexible Reti | rement | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| File Reference | K55-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authorit | у | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Requirement under LGPS Pension Regulations to provide direction with regards to Flexible Retirement Provision | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Corporate Governance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23 rd September, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | Draft Flexible Retirement Policy | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to comply with LGPS requirements will leave the Authority open to potential legal challenge | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | | Paula Pocock or <u>@merseysidev</u> | | | |

| Item for consideration | Work Life Balance Policy | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| File Reference | K56-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Statutory changes in relation to employment provisions. Adoption of Best Practice in relation to work life balance issues. | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Corporate Governance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 23 rd September, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | Draft Work Life Balance Policy | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Requirement to incorporate changes statutory employment provisions may leave the Authority open to legal challenge | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | | Paula Pocock or <u>@merseysidev</u> | • | | |

| Item for consideration | Interim Waste Treatment Contract | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| File Reference | K53-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Private | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Impact Specify Community Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Potential financial implications for the Authority | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Procurement | | | | |
| Date for decision | 18 th November, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Opportunity to secure performance and financial efficiencies. | | | | |
| Prior consultation | Chairman. | | | | |
| Representations | | ohn Connell or merseysidewo | - | | |

| Item for consideration | MWHL/3C – Head of Terms | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| File Reference | K52-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Private | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authorit | у | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Re commencement of long term contractual arrangements | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Strategy & Development | | | | |
| Date for decision | 18 th November, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | MWHL Board Minutes Proposed Heads of Terms | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Reduces current contractual risks and secures MWDA medium term residual landfill requirements | | | | |
| Prior consultation | MWHL Board 3C RRC participants | | | | |
| Representations | | leil Ferris or by erseysidewda.c | | | |

| Item for consideration | Joint Munici | pal Waste Man | agement Strat | tegy |
|---|---|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| File Reference | K57-11 | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Public | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authorit | у | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial | Community Impact | Other – please | |
| | Yes | Yes | specify | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | To ratify the Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Merseyside and accompanying Merseyside Waste Disposal Authority Action Plan | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Strategy & Development | | | |
| Date for decision | 18 th November, 2011 | | | |
| Date for decision | 1. RESO | URCES Mersey | | |
| List of Background Papers for | The Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Merseyside; and | | | |
| consideration | | | | |
| | 2. MWDA Action Plan | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to maintain a robust and sustainable Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy | | | |
| Implications | Failure top engage partners and stakeholders | | | |
| Prior consultation | MWDA Management Team; Merseyside and Halton Waste Partnership Senior | | | |
| | Officers Working Group; All District Scrutiny/Select Committees | | | |
| | JMWMS Strategy Review Steering Group; | | | |
| | Don't Waste Your Say Public Consultation Autumn 2010; and | | | |
| | Draft JMWMS Public Consultation Summer 2011 | | | |
| | _ | tuart Donaldso | • |) |
| Representations | Stuart.Donald | lson@merseysi | <u>dewda.gov.uk</u> | |

| Item for consideration | Performance Report Quarter 2 2011/12 | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| File Reference | K58-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authorit | у | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Authority Members consider the report on performance and determine, where relevant, the actions required to improve performance | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Governance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 18 th November, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to manage performance risks non-achievement of targets | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | _ | landy Valentine tine@merseysion | • | | |

| Item for consideration | RRC Update | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| File Reference | K59-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Private | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Contract Value | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Procurement | | | | |
| Date for decision | 18 th November, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | The report updates members on significant risk positions and risk burdens in the RRC Procurement | | | | |
| Prior consultation | | | | | |
| Representations | | Carl Beer or by one or by one or by one or by one or by or b | | | |

| Item for consideration | Corporate Plan & Chief Executive's Appraisal | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| File Reference | K60-11 | | | | |
| Is the report likely to be private or public? | Private | | | | |
| Decision Maker | Full Authority | | | | |
| Key Decision Criteria | Financial Community Impact Other – please specify | | | | |
| Reason for meeting Key Decision Criteria | Report outcome of the Chief Executive's Appraisal and seek Members' approval of a revised Corporate Plan. | | | | |
| Scrutiny area | Governance | | | | |
| Date for decision | 18 th November, 2011 | | | | |
| List of Background Papers for consideration | | | | | |
| Risk Management Implications | Failure to set priorities and manage the future direction of the Authority. | | | | |
| Prior consultation | Chief Executive's Appraisal Panel | | | | |
| Representations | _ | landy Valentine tine@merseysion | e or by email to dewda.gov.uk | | |